

An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016



Abinitio materials modelling Univer School, Paradim School,

Oxford





About me







Monday 25 - Friday 29







Textbooks



MSc and 1st year PhD level

Materials Modelling using Density Functional Theory

Properties & Predictions

FELICIANO GIUSTINO



Impact of DFT





Interview by R. Van Norden Nature 514, 550 (2014)

46,702

Development of the Colle–Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density (1988)

Determined by Thereine Reserve Was of Servers, Industries paper challen Spectrational 7 October 2014 (1994) and a server in Manuser 197 Spectra 2014

46,145

8

Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange (1993)

8 of 17 | Lecture 1.1





9 of 17 | Lecture 1.1

Examples of DFT calculations





Raty, Galli, Bostedt, van Buuren, Terminello, Phys. Rev. Lett 90, 037401 (2003)

Examples of DFT calculations





Choi, Roundy, Sun, Cohen, Louie, Nature 418, 758 (2002)





Melting temperature of ϵ -Fe at the core-mantle boundary

Alfe, Gillan, Price, Nature 401, 462 (1999)

Examples of DFT calculations





Kermode et al, Nature 455, 1224 (2008)

Examples of DFT calculations





Filip, Giustino, J. Phys. Chem. C, 120, 166 (2016) Volonakis, Filip, Haghighirad, Sakai, Wenger, Snaith, Giustino, JPC Lett. 7, 1254 (2016)





Filip, Giustino, J. Phys. Chem. C, 120, 166 (2016) Volonakis, Filip, Haghighirad, Sakai, Wenger, Snaith, Giustino, JPC Lett. 7, 1254 (2016)



- **1964** Hohenberg–Kohn theorem and Kohn–Sham formulation
- **1972** Relativistic extension of density functional theory
- 1980 Local density approximation for exchange and correlation
- July 2016 **1984** Time-dependent density functional theory
- 1985 First-principles molecular dynamics
- 1986 Quasiparticle corrections for insulators
- 1987 Density functional perturbation theory
- 1988 Towards quantum chemistry accuracy
- **1991** Hubbard-corrected density functional theory
- **1996** The generalized gradient approximation

Exponential rate of progress in the past two decades...



Transferability

We can use the same code/methods for very different materials

Simplicity

The Kohn-Sham equations are the closest thing to the usual Schrödinger Feliciano equation that we can think of 111 2016 nxford

Reliability

Often we can predict materials properties with high accuracy, sometimes even before the actual experiment

Software sharing

The development of DFT has become a global enterprise, eg open source and collaborative software development

• Reasonable starting point

Often the shortcomings of DFT can be cured by using more sophsticated approaches, which still use DFT as their starting point



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016









Many-body Schrödinger equation



Many-body wavefunction (to fix ideas: only 3 electrons)

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$$

• Probability of finding electron #1 at the point
$$\mathbf{r}$$

prob($\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}$) = $\int |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)|^2 d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3$
• Electron density at the point \mathbf{r}
 $n(\mathbf{r}) = \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}) + \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}) + \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r})$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}) + \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}) + \operatorname{prob}(\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r})$$

• Electrons are indistinguishable

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = 3 \int |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)|^2 \, d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3$$





5 of 17 | Lecture 1.2

Many-body Schrödinger equation



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{i} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{e}} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{I} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}} \nabla_{I}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|} - \sum_{i,I} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \frac{Z_{I}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|} \end{bmatrix} \Psi = E_{\text{tot}} \Psi$$
Hartree atomic units

• masses in units of m_{e} (electron mass)

• lengths in units of a_{0} (Bohr radius)

• energies in units of $e^{2}/4\pi\epsilon_{0}a_{0}$ (Hartree)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi \sum_{i} \frac{4}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{I} \frac{1}{2M_{I}} \nabla_{I}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|} - \sum_{i,I} \frac{Z_{I}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|} \end{bmatrix} \Psi = E_{\text{tot}} \Psi$$

6 of 17 | Lecture 1.2

Many-body Schrödinger equation





Exponential wall



2016

y

Storage requirements for the many-body wavefunction of a unit cell of silicon (diamond structure)

- $\begin{array}{l} -5.43 \text{ \AA} \\ N_{\mathrm{p}} = (a^{3}/4)/(\Delta x)^{3} \sim 40,000 \\ \text{ 8 valence electrons per unit cell} \\ \Psi = 40,000^{8} \text{ complex numb} \end{array}$

 - Paradim School,

 10^{26} Terabytes

Clamped nuclei approximation



Set nuclear masses $M_I = \infty$:



Electronic structure theory in a nutshell

Independent electrons approximation



Independent particle Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0(\mathbf{r}) = -rac{1}{2}
abla^2 + V_\mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r})$$

- $\sum_{i} \hat{H}_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{N}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}|}\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{N}) = E \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{N})$ f we neglect this electron-electron Coulombard JULY
- If we neglect this electron electron Coulomb repulsion, then electrons will

$$\begin{array}{c} \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{N}) = \phi_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\cdots\phi_{N}(\mathbf{r}_{N})\\ \\ \hat{H}_{0}(\mathbf{r})\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

$$\hat{H}_0(\mathbf{r})\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\sum_{i} \hat{H}_0(\mathbf{r}_i) \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots \mathbf{r}_N) = E \ \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots \mathbf{r}_N)$$

$$E = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_N$$

Example: Ground state of He





Exclusion principle



• Let us calculate the electron density for 2 electrons in the independent-electron approximation

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = 2\int |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2 d\mathbf{r}_2 = 2\int |\phi_1(\mathbf{r})|^2 |\phi_2(\mathbf{r}_2)|^2 d\mathbf{r}_2 = 2 |\phi_1(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = 2\int |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2 \, d\mathbf{r}_2 =$$

$$|\phi_1({f r})|^2+|\phi_2({f r})|^2$$

Mean-field approximation



• The electron density can be used to determine the electrostatic field generated by the electrons



This is Hartree's self-consistent field approximation (1928)
 Advantages No need for the many-body wavefunction Requires iterative solution

Exchange energy



- The Hartree approximation does not incorporate the constraint on the antisymmetry of the many-body wavefunction, $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1) = -\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2)$
- Incorporating this constraint in the mean-field equation leads to a new potential energy contribution, the Fock exchange

 $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + V_{\rm n}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V_{\rm X}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}') = 2016$ Prof.
Univ $V_{\rm X}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\sum_{j \in \text{occ}} \frac{\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}')\phi_j(\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$ Fock potential is a [Sec. 2.8 and App. A of book]

- The Fock potential 'enforces' Pauli's principle by making sure that To same-spin electrons repel each other opposite-spin electrons attract each other
- The Fock potential is non-local

Correlation energy



- So far we assumed that electrons are independent, that is *uncorrelated* $prob(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = prob(\mathbf{r}_1) \times prob(\mathbf{r}_2)$
- This is not true since electrons do repel each other, therefore the 'true' wavefunction cannot be expressed as a Slater determinant

$$\Psi_{\text{true}}(\mathbf{\hat{r}}_1, \mathbf{\hat{r}}_2) \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)\phi_2(\mathbf{\hat{r}}_2) - \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)\phi_2(\mathbf{\hat{r}}_1)]$$

• Since the Slater determinant is really useful for practical calculations, we keep using it, but we **pay the price** of adding yet another potential

$$Paradim \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{2}\nabla^{2} + V_{n} + V_{H} + V_{X} + V_{c} \end{bmatrix} \phi_{i} = \varepsilon_{i} \phi_{i}$$

$$\uparrow$$
correlation
potential

Example: Ground state of He





Kohn-Sham equations







An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016



Felidecture 2.1 Poensity-functional theory University School, Paradim School,


Density Functional Theory = theory about the energy of electrons



3 of 13 | Lecture 2.1



~~16

The total energy is a *functional* of the wavefunction

$$\hat{H} \Psi = \mathbf{E} \Psi \longrightarrow \mathbf{E} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$$

So for a generic quantum state we have

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \xrightarrow{e_1} E \qquad \text{of} \qquad E = E[\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)]$$

Hohenberg and Kohn (1964) discovered that, if we are talking about the lowest-energy state, we also have

$$Paradim E = E[n(\mathbf{r})]$$

The total energy is a functional of the density



In Lecture 1.2 we had:

 $-\sum_{i} \frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{2} + \sum_{i} V_{n}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq i} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} \Psi = E \Psi$ In order to prove the HK theorem we rewrite the energy more compactly $E = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) V_{n}(\mathbf{r}) + \langle \Psi | \hat{U} | \Psi \rangle, \qquad \hat{U} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|}$ Now we want to show the following Theorem In the ground-state, the electron density $n(\mathbf{r})$ uniquely determines the

external potential $V_{
m n}({f r})$

Hohenberg-Kohn theorem



- Assume there are two potentials V_1 and V_2 for the same density [For clarity we temporarily suppress the subscript 'n' in V_n]
- By solving the MBSE for each potential we find the lowest-energy states E_1 , Ψ_1 and E_2 , Ψ_2 , respectively Gius
- Since Ψ_1 is the ground state of V_1 we have $\int nV_1 + \langle \Psi_1 | \hat{U} | \Psi_1 \rangle = E_1$ Since Ψ_1 is not the ground state of V_2 we have $\int nV_2 + \langle \Psi_1 | \hat{U} | \Psi_1 \rangle > E_2$ The difference gives $\int n(V_1 V_2) > E_1 E_2$ By repeating the same argument starting from Ψ_2 we have

$$\int n(V_2 - V_1) > E_2 - E_1$$

• The sum of the last two equations yields the contradiction 0 > 0

Hohenberg-Kohn theorem







The HK theorem states that, in the ground state, the total energy of many electrons is a functional of their density, $E = E[n(\mathbf{r})]$. But what is the form of this functional? The energy functional is unknown July 2016 Prof. Univer Paradim Sch

The scream by E. Munch (1910)

The energy functional





9 of 13 | Lecture 2.1



Total energy

$$E[n] = \int d\mathbf{r} \, n(\mathbf{r}) V_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \sum_{i} \int d\mathbf{r} \, \phi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\nabla^{2}}{2} \phi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) + E_{xc}[n]$$

We find the lowest energy state by looking for stationary points of ${\cal E}[n]$ [the derivation can be found in Appendix B of the book] $F = \begin{cases} \delta E \\ \delta n \\ \delta$

$$\mathsf{parad}\left[\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2} + V_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{xc}(\mathbf{r})\right]\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$V_{xc} = \frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta n}$$

Exchange and Correlation Potential

10 of 13 | Lecture 2.1

LDA – Local Density Approximation





Position

SCF - Self-consistent field calculations





Successes and failures

| ٢ | UNIVERSITY OF |
|----------------|---------------|
| - 6 | OMORD |

| | 1 1A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 8A |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 H Hydrogen 1.01 | 2 2A | | | | | | | | | | | 13 3A | 14 4A | 15 5A | 16 6A | 17 7A | 2 He Helium 4.00 |
| 2 | 3 Li Lithium 6.94 | 4 Be Beryllium 9.01 | | | | | | | | đ | ; 11 | st | 5 B Boron 10.81 | 6 C Carbon 12.01 | 7 N Nitrogen 14.01 | 8 O Oxygen 16.00 | 9 F Fluorine 19.00 | 10 Ne Neon 20.18 |
| 3 | 11 Na Sodium 22.99 | 12 Mg Magnesium 24.31 | 3 3B | 4 4B | 5 5B | 6 6B | 7 78 _ | * 3 | 9 <u>0</u> | 10 10 | 11 1B | 12 A 2B | 13 Al uminum 26.98 | 14 Si Silicon 28.09 | 15 P nosphorus 30.97 | 16 S Sulfur 32.07 | 17 Cl Chlorine 35,45 | 18 Ar Argon 39.95 |
| 4 | 19 K Potassium 39.10 | 20 Ca Calcium 40.08 | 21 Sc Scandium 44.96 | 22 Ti Titanium 47.87 | 23 V Vanadium 50.94 | 24 Cr thromium Ma 52.00 | 25 Mn anganese 54.94 | 26 Fe Iron 55.85 | 27 Co Cobalt 58.93 | 28 Ni Nickel 58.69 | 29 Cu Copper 63.55 | 30 Zn Znc 65.39 | 31 Ga Sallium Gi 69.72 | 32 Ge srmanium 72.61 | 33 As Arsenic 74.92 | 34 See Selenium 78.96 | 35 Br Bromine 79.90 | 36 Kr Krypton 83.80 |
| 5 | 37 Rb Rubidium 85.47 | 38 Sr Strontium 87.62 | 39 Y Yttrium 88.91 | 40 Zr Zirconium 91.22 | 41 Nb Niobium 92.91 | 42 Mo olybdenum 95.94 | 43 TC chnetium Ri (98) | 44 Ru athenium R 101.07 1 | 45 Rh hodium P 02.91 | 46 Pd alladium 106.42 | 47 Ag Silver 107:87 | 48 Cd Cadmum 112.41 | 49 J In Indium 114.82 | 50 Sn Tin 118.71 | 51 Sb Intimony 121.76 | 52 Te fellurium 127.60 | 53 lodine 126.90 | 54 Xe Xenon 131.29 |
| 6 | 55 Cs Cesium 132.91 | 56 Ba Barium 137.33 | 57 La Lanthanum 138.91 | 72 Hf Hatnium 178.49 | - 73 Ta Tantalum 180.95 | 74 W fungsten R 183.84 1 | 75 Re thenium (1 186.21 | 76 Os Dsmium 1 190.23 1 | 77 Ir ndium 92.22 | 78 Pt Platinum 195.08 | 79 Au Gold 196.97 | 80 Hg Mercury T 200.59 | 81 TI hallium 204.38 | 82 Pb Lead 207.2 | 83 Bi Bismuth F 208.98 | 84 Po Polonium (209) | 85 At Astatine (210) | 86 Rn Radon (222) |
| 7 | 87 Fr Francium (223) | 88 Ra Radium (226) | 89 Ac Actinium (227) | 104 Rf Rutherfordium (261) | 105 Db Dubnium (262) | 106 Sg (266) | 107 Bh 30hrium (264) | 108 Hs lassium Me (269) | 109 Mt itnerium (268) | | | | | | | | | |
| | P | ar | ad | 58 Ce Ceriur 140.1 | 59 Pr Prasecodymiu 2 140.91 | 60 Nd Neodymium 144.24 | 61 Pm Promethium (145) | 62 Sm Samarium 150.36 | 63 Eu Europium 151.96 | 64 Gd Gadoliniur 157.25 | 65 Tb Terbium 158.93 | 66 Dy Dysprosium 162.50 | 67 Ho Holmium 164.93 | 68 Er Erbium 167.26 | 69 Tm Thulium 168.93 | 70 Yb Ytterbium 173.04 | 71 Lu Lutetium 174.97 | |
| | | | | Thoriu 232.0 | 91 Pa Protactiniur 4 231.04 | 92 U Uranium 238.03 | 93 Np Neptunium (237) | Plutonium (244) | Americium (243) | 96 Cm Curium (247) | Bk Berkelium (247) | Californium (251) | Einsteiniun (252) | Fermium (257) | Mendelevium (258) | Nobelium (259) | Lawrenciu (262) | m |



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016



Felidecture 2.2 Planewaves and of July 2016 Univer and pseudopotentials Paradim School,



DFT calculations require the numerical solution of the KS equations

 2^{nd} order PDE \longrightarrow for every y and z we need 2 boundary conditions on x• Localized system

 $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{r})\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$

• Localized system atom | molecule | nanocrystal $\phi_i(x, y, z) \neq 0$ for $x = -\infty$,

$$\phi_i(x, y, z) = 0$$
 for $x = +\infty$

• Extended system solid fiquid $\phi_i(x + a, y, z) = \phi_i(x, y, z), \quad \nabla \phi_i(x + a, y, z) = \nabla \phi_i(x, y, z)$

Periodic (BvK) boundary conditions

Born-von Kármán boundary conditions



DFT calculations for solids, liquids, interfaces, and nanostructures are performed using BvK boundary conditions





A convenient way of handling the KS wavefunctions is by expanding them in a basis of planewaves \longrightarrow standard Fourier transform



Planewaves



In 2D and 3D we replace $2\pi/a$ by the primitive vectors of the reciprocal lattice, eg





Kohn-Sham wavefunction in a basis of planewaves $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{C}} c_i(\mathbf{G}) \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ ustino By replacing in the KS equations we obtain $\frac{|\mathbf{G}|^2}{2}c_i(\mathbf{G}) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}'} V_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}')c_i(\mathbf{G}') = \varepsilon_i c_i(\mathbf{G}) \qquad 0.16$ How many planewave G-vectors should we include in the expansion? $E_{\rm cut} = \frac{|\mathbf{G}_{\rm max}|^2}{2}$ $|\mathbf{G}| = 2 \cdot 2\pi/a$ $|\mathbf{G}| = 3 \cdot 2\pi/a$ planewaves kinetic energy cutoff









Atomic wavefunctions of silicon (DFT/LDA)









Reverse-engineer the pseudo-potential potential which gives the pseudo-wavefunction as solution of the atomic Schrödinger equation



11 of 12 | Lecture 2.2



In crystalline solids we label electronic states by their <u>Bloch wavevector</u> ${\bf k}$ [see books by Kittel or Ashcroft & Mermin]

 $\phi_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ with $u_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}) = u_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ Bloch theorem Gius $n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i \in occ} |\phi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 \frac{1}{Fe^2} \sum_{i \in occ} \int_{BZ} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{\Omega_{BZ}} |u_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}})|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{1}{k \in I}$ $\frac{1}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{BZ}} \sum_{i \in occ} |u_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ O Brillouin zone of *fcc* crystal (eg silicon) Sch DFT codes use a uniform discretization of this volume, and reduce the number of k-vectors using the crystal symmetry operations



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016







In order to find the equilibrium structures of materials

- 1) We determine the **potential energy surface** of the ions





Clamped nuclei approximation

Back to the complete many-body Schrödinger equation for electrons & nuclei

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{i} \frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{2} - \sum_{I} \frac{\nabla_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}} - \sum_{i,I} \frac{Z_{I}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|} \end{bmatrix} \Psi = E_{\text{tot}} \Psi$$

Here $\Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N}, \mathbf{R}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{M})$
Example: the wavefunction of an electron vs the wavefunction of the Pb nucleus
$$\frac{1}{2M} \frac{d^{2}\psi(x)}{dx^{2}} + Cx^{2}\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$$



Born and Oppenheimer (1927) proposed the following approximation

• Factorize the electron-nuclear wavefunction

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N,\mathbf{R}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{R}_M)\simeq\Psi_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)\chi(\mathbf{R}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{R}_M)$

• Find the electronic part as the ground state of Schrödinger equation with the nuclei clamped at $\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_M$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{i} \frac{\nabla_i^2}{2} + \sum_{i} V_n(\mathbf{r}_i; \mathbf{R}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \end{bmatrix} \Psi_{\mathbf{R}} = E(\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_M) \Psi_{\mathbf{R}}$$

• Replace the result in the complete MBSE of the previous slide

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\sum_{I}^{j} \frac{\nabla_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|} + E(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{M})\right] \chi = E_{\text{tot}} \chi$$

Schrödinger equation for nuclei

Potential energy surface









Classical nuclei





Newton's equation for nuclei

Structural optimization



Finding the minima of the PES using damped molecular dynamics



Structural optimization





Examples



Simplest case of structural optimization: N_2 diatomic molecule



Note Nitrogen is [18] 28 p⁻, therefore it has a spin S = 3/2 after the first Hund's rule. These calculations are spin-unpolarized (N₂ has 14 electrons), therefore the result at large separation is higher than twice the energy of one N atom

Examples



Structural optimization of bulk crystals: Silicon





Structural optimization of surfaces: Clean Si(001) surface



52 Si atoms | 13 layers | 8 H atoms for passivation | 4 layers kept fixed

13 of 15 | Lecture 3.1
Examples







Examples

Comparison between calculated and measured STM images



Experimental STM image courtesy of T. Yokoyama http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.R5078



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016





Elastic properties







Notion of stress and strain: a computer experiment on silicon



Heuristic approach





General theory







General transformation of the atomic coordinates: the strain tensor



General theory



Voigt notation







9 of 12 | Lecture 3.2

Practical calculations





10 of 12 | Lecture 3.2



- The stress tensor can also be calculated in DFT w/o considering explicit distortions (stress theorem) Nielsen and Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 697 (1983)
 2016
- It is possible to perform DFT calculations for an abritrary external load → common to study pressure-dependence Parrinello and Rahman, J. Appl. Phys. 52, 7182 (1981)
 Schooler, Phys. 52, 7182 (1981)

Example: Post-perovskite MgSiO₃





litaka et al, Nature 430, 442 (2004)



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016





Harmonic approximation



From Lecture 3.1 (slide 8): Newton's equation for classical ions



Harmonic approximation





4 of 15 | Lecture 4.1

Harmonic approximation





[Excercise 8.1 of Book]

Vibrational eigenmodes and eigenvalues





6 of 15 | Lecture 4.1



Equation of motion in the harmonic approximation



7 of 15 | Lecture 4.1

Vibrational eigenmodes and eigenvalues





Standard matrix eigenvalue problem

Calculations of vibrational frequencies







Example: water molecule





Example: water molecule





Simplest model: 1D chain of atoms moving along 1 direction



By analogy we can try $u_I(t) = u_0 e^{i(qR_I - \omega t)}$

Vibrations in crystals



$$M \omega^{2} e^{iqR_{I}} = \sum_{J} K_{IJ} e^{iqR_{J}}$$

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{J} K_{IJ} e^{iq(R_{J} - R_{I})}$$
In crystals the force constants are **translationally invariant**, ie $K_{IJ} = K_{I+N,J+N}$

$$\omega^{2}(q) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{J} K_{0J} e^{iqR_{J}}$$
The eigenfrequencies are obtained as the Fourier transform of the force constants
Dynamical matrix for 2D/3D crystals
$$D_{I0} j_{\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{I}M_{J}}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\tau_{J} - \tau_{I})} K_{0I\alpha,\mathbf{R}J\beta}$$

 ${\bf R}$ reciprocal lattice vector τ_I position of atom I in the primitive unit cell

Phonon dispersion relations





- DFT/LDA calculation
- Inelastic neutron scattering

Phonon density of states



Example: $a-SiO_2$



DFT/LDA calculation



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016



Giustino Giustino IR spectra & dielectric constants Univer dielectric constants Paradim School,

Infrared absorption spectrum





Experiment by R. Milot & M. Johnston Perez et al, J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 25703 (2015)

Dielectric function



General structure of the frequency-dependent dielectric function



Simplified model





Simplified model





6 of 13 | Lecture 4.2

Simplified model






Low-frequency dielectric constant tensor $(\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0)$





Low-frequency dielectric constant tensor $(\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0)$



F. Giustino



Example: Dielectric constant of CH₃NH₃PbI₃



Perez et al, J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 25703 (2015)



Example: IR absorption of CH₃NH₃PbI₃



Perez et al, J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 25703 (2015)

Born effective charges





Polarization in extended systems

- A uniform electric field yields a potential which is unbounded in infinite crystals $V(x) = eEx \to \infty$ when $x \to \infty$
- Replace the position operator x by the Berry-phase formula R.D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B (R) 47, 1651 (1993), t. 100



F. Giustino



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016



Giustino Giustino Band structures of optical spectra University Paradim School,

Band structures



From Lecture 2.2





Example: simplified tight-binding model of graphene





Example: DFT/LDA band structures of common semiconductors





Example: DFT/LDA band structure and Density of States of copper



6 of 15 | Lecture 5.1

F. Giustino



Example: DFT/LDA Fermi surface and wavefunctions of copper



Kohn-Sham wavefunctions



Example: Highest-occupied orbital of P3HT on ZnO



Noori & Giustino, Adv. Func. Mater. 22, 5089 (2012)



8 of 15 | Lecture 5.1



• Are band structures real?





The DFT total energy (Lecture 2.1) can be rewritten as

 $E[n] = \sum_{i} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{\Omega_{\rm BZ}} f_{i\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{i\mathbf{k}} - \left[E_{\rm H} + \int d\mathbf{r} V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) - E_{xc} \right]$ double counting term OT band structure term $f_{i\mathbf{k}}$ electron occupation Universi dim Schoop, If the double-counting term vanished, $\varepsilon_{i\mathbf{k}}$ would give the change of energy upon adding/removing one electron KS levels can be thought of as very rough approximations to addition/removal energies

Band gap problem



• DFT/LDA typically <u>underestimates</u> the band gaps of insulators and semiconductors



Dielectric function and optical spectra



From band structure & wavefunctions we can calculate ${\sf UV}/{\sf Vis}$ spectra





Example: Dielectric function of silicon





Example: Dielectric function of silicon



- DFT/LDA in the independent-particle approximation
- Scissor correction' of 0.55 eV
- Excitonic peak observed at 3.2 eV missing in the calculation
- Phonon-assisted absorption below 3 eV missing in the calculation

Dielectric function and optical spectra





15 of 15 | Lecture 5.1

F. Giustino



An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists 2010 Prof. July University of Oxford Paradim University of Oxford

25-29 July 2016





PBE exchange and correlation



Perdew, Burke & Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)

- Within the LDA the XC energy is approximated using the local density
- 'Generalized gradient' approximations (GGA) incorporate information about the density gradient

aradim
$$E_{xc}^{\text{GGA}} = \int d\mathbf{r} f[n(\mathbf{r}), \nabla n(\mathbf{r})]$$

PBE exchange and correlation





From Table 1 of Perdew, Burke, Ernzerhof, PRL 1996

PBE exchange and correlation





Hubbard-corrected DFT

Anisimov, Zaanen & Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991) Cococcioni & De Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B 71, 035105 (2005)

- 3d transition metal and 4f rare earth
- LDA underestimates the on-site Coulomb energy between electrons
 - DFT+U adds Hubbard-like corrections to remedy this deficiency
 - Important for strongly-correlated materials

Hubbard-corrected DFT

Anisimov, Zaanen & Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991) Cococcioni & De Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B 71, 035105 (2005)

Hybrid functionals

PBE0: Perdew, Burke & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 105 (1996) HSE: Heyd, Scuseria & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 118, 8207 (2003)

$$V_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = -\sum_{j\in\mathrm{occ}} \frac{\phi_j(\mathbf{r})\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}$$

Hybrid functionals

PBE0: Perdew, Burke & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 105 (1996)

Heyd, Scuseria & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 118, 8207 (2003) HSE:

• The separation is carried our by breaking the Coulomb potential in two parts $E_{xc}^{HSE} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} E_{x}^{PBE;sr} + \frac{1}{4} \\ E_{x}^{F} \end{bmatrix} + E_{x}^{PBE,Ir} + E_{c}^{PBE} 2016$ • The separation is carried our by breaking the Coulomb potential in two parts University of the transformation of the trans

Hybrid functionals

PBE0: Perdew, Burke & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 105 (1996) HSE: Heyd, Scuseria & Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys. 118, 8207 (2003)

Band gaps

Liustino Typically PBE underestimates band gaps while Hartree-Fock overestimates. of DXT July 2016 Mixing PBE and HF yields values closer to experiment

Exchange fraction

Widespread practice of using the mixing fraction as an adjustable empirical im School, parameter

Correlatio

The correlation energy is still described at the PBE level. This misses van der Waals corrections (slide 13) and dynamical effects (slide 14)

...avefunction for non-interacting atoms $\Psi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) \equiv \phi_{1s}^{A}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \phi_{1s}^{B}(\mathbf{r}_{2})$ • Electron density Felician Oxford 2016 Productive sity of Dxford July 2016 Productive sity Cornell, July 2016 Productive sity Cornell, July 2016 This is (roughly) what we would obtain within DFT/LDA

Example: Two H atoms far away from each other

[Exercise 4.5 of Book] $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) = \Psi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) + \frac{\alpha/4\pi\epsilon_{0}}{d^{3}} \phi_{2p_{x}}^{A}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \phi_{2p_{x}}^{B}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) 2016$ $\alpha = \text{atomic polarizability}$ • The ground-state electron density is <u>polarized</u> We can obtain a lower-energy wavefunction by using O

А

Scho

Example: Two H atoms far away from each other

- Not included in standard DET of July 2016 mportant for graphitic materials, biological standard determines and the standard determines and th • The density redistribution leads to an additional attractive potential

Andersson, Langreth & Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 102 (1996)

Dion, Rydberg, Schröder, Langreth & Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004)

Grimme, J. Comp. Chem. 27, 1787 (2006)

Tkatchenko & Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 073005 (2009)

Many-body perturbation theory

Many-body perturbation theory





• Used in combination with the GW method

Many-body perturbation theory





From: Rohlfing & Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81. 2312 (1998)

17 of 17 | Lecture 5.2

F. Giustino